Terry Singleton, SBN 58316 1 terry@terrysingleton.com 2 Gerald Singleton, SBN 208783 gsingleton@singletonschreiber.com 3 Brett J. Schreiber, SBN 239707 bschreiber@singletonschreiber.com 4 John C. Lemon, SBN 175847 5 ilemon@singletonschreiber.com SINGLETON SCHREIBER, LLP 6 450 A Street, 5th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 Tel. (619) 771-3473 8 Attorneys for the Opt-Out Plaintiffs 9 10 11 12 Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.550) 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FIRE CASES

JCCP Case No. 4965

For Filing Purposes: BC698429

**DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN** SUPPORT OF THE OPT-OUT PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO LIFT STAY AND SET A TRIAL DATE

February 15, 2022 Date:

Time: 1:45 p.m.

1 (Spring Street Courthouse) Dept: Judge: Hon. Daniel J. Buckley

I, Gerald Singleton, state the following:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in all courts in the state of California. I am the managing partner of Singleton Schreiber, LLP. I have personal knowledge of the facts of this case and all the facts stated here. With respect to any facts alleged on information and belief, I believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I would competently testify to the following.
- 2. The Butte Fire started on September 9, 2015. The case was coordinated before the Hon. Allen Sumner (Superior Court, County of Sacramento) in January 2016 (JCCP 4853). The first settlements occurred in June 2016. My firm represented the largest number of individual plaintiffs in the Butte Fire case, and I served as one of the liaison counsel for the individual plaintiffs.

- 3. Initially, the individual plaintiffs were satisfied with the pace of settlements by PG&E in the Butte Fire cases and did not request a trial date. However, in early 2017, many plaintiffs believed the pace of settlements was too slow and asked Judge Sumner to set two trial dates for the summer of 2017, which he did. After Judge Sumner set the trial dates, settlements for other cases continued to take place, as PG&E's attorneys (the Los Angeles based firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan) continued to both mediate and settle cases and prepare for back-to-back liability and damages trials. All the cases settled before trial. To the best of my knowledge, all the settlements in the Butte Fire were arrived at individually (i.e., they were not group settlements).
- 4. In January 2019, PG&E announced that it was going to declare bankruptcy and stopped settling Butte Fire cases. As of the last date for which information is available, January 11, 2019, roughly three years and four months (40 months) after the fire had occurred, 3,045 of 4,019 individual plaintiffs (roughly 75%) had settled their cases.
- 5. The 2019 Kincade Fire (JCCP 5127) and the 2020 Zogg Fire (JCCP 5165) both of which are alleged to have been started by PG&E have both been coordinated in front of the Hon. Andrew Cheng in the Superior Court of San Francisco. I am one of the liaison counsel for the individual plaintiffs in both of these cases.
- 6. In both the Kincade and Zogg Fire cases, Judge Cheng also ordered each plaintiff to submit a mediation demand to PG&E's counsel within 60 days of the date of that plaintiff's adoption of the master complaint.
- 7. The first settlements in the Zogg Fire took place roughly ten months after the fire, and several law firms have already settled cases.
- 8. In the Kincade Fire case, Judge Cheng set a trial date of November 7, 2022, slightly over 3 years after the date the fire started (October 2019). Because PG&E was still in bankruptcy when the Kincade Fire occurred and did not emerge from bankruptcy until July 1, 2020, the Kincade Fire case was not coordinated until April 2021. In the Kincade Fire cases, the parties currently are conducting liability discovery and engaging in mediations. PG&E's defense counsel (the New York based firm of Cravath, Swaine & Moore) has never argued that they are not capable of both conducting mediations and preparing for a liability trial.

- 9. In the Zogg Fire case, Judge Cheng has set a trial date of February 6, 2023 (roughly two years and four months after the fire) so that any remaining unsettled cases may be tried. Here again, the parties currently are conducting liability discovery and engaging in mediations. PG&E's defense counsel (Cravath, Swaine & Moore) has never argued that they are incapable of both conducting mediations and preparing for a liability trial.
- 10. In this case (Southern California Fire cases, JCCP 4965), Edison retained Murchison & Cumming (led by experienced fire litigator Friedrich Seitz) to handle the Rye Fire while Hueston Hennigan focused on mediating the Thomas Fire cases.
- 11. Mr. Seitz and his team have handled other fire cases in the past and are among the most experienced lawyers in Southern California at defending utilities in fire cases. According to the firm's website, Mr. Seitz has over 100 jury trials, chairs the "Wildland Fire Litigation" group, and "is a specialist in defending wildland fire cases." To the best of my knowledge, Mr. Seitz and his team are available to assist Edison regarding liability discovery and/or mediations if Hueston Hennigan is unable to both continue the mediation protocol and prepare for trial.
- 12. Since agreeing to represent Edison in this case in 2017 and in the 2018 Woolsey Fire, Hueston Hennigan has agreed to serve as lead defense counsel in at least four other utility wildfire cases in two states: the 2020 Silverado and 2020 Bobcat Fire cases (both of which involve Edison as the primary defendant); the 2020 Slater Fire case (in which Hueston Hennigan represents PacifiCorp in a fire that is being litigated in both California and Oregon); and the 2020 Santiam/Beachie Creek Fire, which is being litigated in Oregon.
- 13. Hueston Hennigan's website proclaims, among other things, that the firm is "a force to be reckoned with," "the go-to firm for bet-the-farm litigation," a "national powerhouse," and a "maximum trial threat." It lists 75 lawyers and states that its attorneys are among the finest litigators in the nation. The website also lists an impressive array of recent major cases that the firm is involved in all over the country.
- 14. One of the cases featured on the website is a complex patent case, *Acorn Semi, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.*, in the Eastern District of Texas. According to the website, in

May 2021, Hueston Hennigan (whose trial team was led by Doug Dixon, one of Edison's two lead counsel here) won a \$25 million verdict for Acorn after a five-day jury trial.

- 15. In November 2021, Mr. Dixon and a team of attorneys from Hueston Hennigan (including Christy Rayburn, Christine Woodin, Sourabh Mishra, Neil Anderson, Dan Sheehan, Michael Acquah and Joseph Aronsohn) successfully defended a patent infringement case in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, *Shure Incorporated et al.*, v. Clearone Inc. (See <a href="https://www.law360.com/articles/1437854">https://www.law360.com/articles/1437854</a>.)
- 16. Another of the cases featured prominently on the Hueston Hennigan website is the California Opioid Trial, *People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma LP et al.*, in Orange County Superior Court.
- 17. In *Purdue Pharma*, several California counties sought \$50 billion in damages from four companies that the counties claimed were responsible for exacerbating the opioid epidemic. According to an article on the website, in November 2021, after a several-month trial, Hueston Hennigan "notched a landmark win" and "a major entry in the win column" on behalf of Endo Pharmaceuticals.
- 18. Relevant here, one of Endo's two lead trial lawyers, John Hueston, is also one of Edison's two lead counsel in this case. According to Hueston, the firm has another opioid case set for trial in April in San Francisco," as well as others "that we have been invited to try" and that "we're focused on fitting [] within our trial schedule for 2022." (See <a href="https://www.hueston.com/law360-how-hueston-hennigan-notched-a-landmark-opioid-trial-win/">https://www.hueston.com/law360-how-hueston-hennigan-notched-a-landmark-opioid-trial-win/</a>.)
- 19. Hueston Hennigan clearly has the bandwidth, then, to prepare for trial in this case while mediating at the same time. In any event, the victims of the Thomas Fire should not be forced to wait another year to accommodate Hueston Hennigan's desire to try more opioid cases in 2022.
- 20. Edison has argued from time to time, primarily in the Woolsey Fire case, that Doug Dixon and John Hueston are the only partners available to represent Edison during mediations and at significant hearings. While I am not familiar with other mediations, in our firm's cases, both Andrew Walsh and Michael Purpura, both partners at Hueston Hennigan, have also represented Edison.

//

- 21. Finally, PG&E's ability to find competent and available counsel in similar wildfire
- 22. PG&E has hired the firm of Munger, Tolles & Olson to handle the liability litigation in two fires: the 2017 Tubbs Fire and the 2021 Dixie Fire.
- 23. Munger Tolles has a national reputation, offices in Los Angeles, and has several very experienced and high-quality attorneys who could represent Edison in this case if Hueston Hennigan is unable to simultaneously manage the mediation protocol and prepare for trial.
- 24. The New York law firm of Cravath Swayne & Moore represents PG&E in the 2019 Kincade Fire and the 2020 Zogg Fire. In both these fires, Cravath is both mediating cases and litigating liability.
- 25. At the close of business on January 18, 2022, Edison International had a market cap of over \$24.2 billion. It therefore has the resources to employ other law firms capable of representing it in this case.
- 26. Like the Thomas Fire, the 2007 San Diego Fire Cases<sup>1</sup> involved a number of large, commercial avocado groves that were damaged or destroyed. My group of attorneys represented the largest number of commercial avocado growers in the 2007 San Diego Fires, and I personally handled the majority of the mediations in these cases.
- 27. Although all of the commercial avocado cases ultimately settled, the process took a significant amount of time. The lead mediator in the San Diego Fire Cases, Justice John K. Trotter, Jr. (Ret.), set up the procedure used to resolve these cases and presided over the majority of them.
- 28. The mediations in these large commercial avocado cases typically would last multiple days. Justice Trotter generally would begin by conducting joint sessions attended by both parties and

27

The 2007 San Diego Fires involved three separate fires (the Witch Creek and Guejito Fires, which later combined, and the Rice Canyon Fire) all of which were started by San Diego Gas & Electric Company's equipment. The fires collectively burned over 200,000 acres, destroyed over 1,300 homes, and caused over \$2 billion in damages. They were consolidated in front of the Hon. Richard E.L. Strauss in San Diego Superior Court. The Los Angeles Firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan was the lead defense counsel for SDG&E.

1	their experts. The experts would give presentations explaining their reports and would be questioned
2	by Justice Trotter, the opposing party's experts, and opposing counsel. These sessions typically
3	would last 1-2 mediation sessions, after which Justice Trotter would then separate the parties and
4	discuss numbers. Ultimately, most of the larger cases took 2-3 mediation sessions to resolve.
5	I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that the
6	foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed in San Diego,
7	California, on January 19, 2022.
8	Goald Lington
9	Gerald Singleton
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	